



DATE AND TIME OF MEETING: Date: July 24, 2020	Internal	External x	Recorder: Jen Kurowski, Beacon Health Options	Draft	Final	
						Time: 2:30 - 4:00
Location: via zoom			W			
			BHP Operations			
			Subcommittee Meet			
TOPIC			DISCUSSION/RECOMMENDATION			
. Medicaid substance Use Disorder (SUD) 115	Bill Halse	ey reviewed the	attached slides			
demonstration Waiver	There is	• There is a long-standing rule that Medicaid does not allow us to pay for the SUD residential level of care. Th			. These	
Example 1CT SUD 1115CT_WaiverVaiver_General DecRequirements and AImage: Complete 1Image: Complete 1Complete 1Com	claims are suppressed when DSS submits claims					
	Following an aggressive timeline:					
	\circ Want to review what is a waiver and the potential implications					
	 Submission does not mean implementation 					
	○In many cases, we have 24 months to complete the goals:					
	Submission date					
	Implementation date					
	Often have 24 months to meet goals					
	This is a lifespan project					
	 Important to get providers up to the ASAM 3rd edition 					
	Working with DCP on this waiver					
	There is a formal evaluation program					
	Heavy emphasis on MAT					
	Includes full continuum of SUD services					
	Includes:					
	○Initial assessment of providers					
	 Ongoing monitoring of providers 					
	\circ Standard behavioral health management of the ASO using ASAM 3 rd edition					
	Heavy emphasis on opioid abuse and prescribing guidelines, expanded coverage and access to naloxone					
	 Another very important component is improved care coordination and successful transitions between levels of care 					
	 Will need period 	d to chart out a	path on how we will intend to meet each milestone complete withi	n the 24-moi	nth	
	• Q&A:					
		Asher (CASA) etc.)?	asked if there will be a compliance component (course of completic	on, benchma	rks,	
			explained that yes, will need to develop a process where providers a	re meeting cl	inical	
			dards of ASAM 3 rd edition and will need to certify or credential the p			
			itoring is necessary to ensure delivery of services		2	
		o Feedback wi	l be important, for example if more staff is necessary, DSS will need	to know so it	t gets	





built into the rates; rate development and analysis is an important component
\circ Heather G. asked if care coordination and level of care are Medicaid reimbursable services or will they
plan to delegate to a state agency or ASO?
Bill replied that is under development but is best suited as a Medicaid reimbursable services
\circ Heather G. commented that the ability to coordinate across those systems would be an important
enhancement that does not currently exist in the system
Bill mentioned that there are 2 companion pieces:
•Waiver
•Entire SUD service system
\circ Gary Steck asked a question about state funds, to which Bill replied there will be one rate of that
specific LOC and that we are not necessarily talking about bundling rates; state funds will still be
connected to certain levels of care
\circ Heather G. commented that not everyone is insured or is insured the entire time they are in
treatment and not everyone is on Medicaid, to which Bill commented that this is an opportunity for a reinvestment into the SUD service system
• Heather G. asked if this is going to allow for an expansion of resources within the SUD treatment
system or is it simply a rearrangement of funds within the system?
 Bill provided clarification: we would always have to do a service-level capacity; these new
federal dollars would cover things like rate adjustments, the mandatory evaluation of the
project, and T/A. Rate structure is one thing we would be using the federal dollars for
• Bill reviewed the attached Word document, which talks through the waiver requirements by each milestone and
shows in the right column where the state has flexibility
○Colleen H. suggested that everyone invest in the ASAM 3 rd edition
\circ Milestone 1 & 2 – no questions from the group
 Milestone 3 – heavy emphasis on MAT including in residential levels of care
Heather G. asked what would be above and beyond what DMHAS is currently doing?
Colleen H. commented that DMHAS is trying to make this as seamless as possible and is
hoping to have supports in place that the entire system will need
Heather G. commented that she hopes we can modify the existing process based on the
standards that need to be met rather than putting a new process in place
Asher mentioned that providers already are held to various accreditation standards such as
JHACO and asked if there is a crosswalk between what is trying to be accomplished here
and the other standards that providers already need to meet?
 Bill responded that yes, to the degree that we can leverage those accreditation
standards, but there must be some sort of certification that the state puts in
place to ensure that providers are meeting the requirements of the waiver
\circ Milestone 4 – Would leverage DMHAS bed capacity (residential bed listing on the DMHAS website)
\circ Milestone 5 – CT is in good shape in this area but is already having conversations with DCP regarding
how we can better coordinate efforts with the prescription drug monitoring program
 Milestone 6 – This could include Peer Recovery Coaches
Heather G. encouraged creating this as a service at the provider level





	○Discussed HIT
	\circ Bill would want to give a presentation on budget neutrality; there is "waiver math" associated with
	this project but it is in our favor
	\circ Evaluation design – any components specific to Connecticut are fine but we were advised to do it
	outside of the waiver
	 Heather requested that DSS use existing data
	\circ Bill said they are working on a crosswalk of where we are today in terms of ASAM 3 rd edition
	 Asher inquired in terms of residential treatment, are there any requirements for number of beds to
	be set aside by region or by providers?
	Bill responded that he believes there is an allowance that will let you contract with another
	provider to provide the MAT if you can't do it yourself
	○Asher commented with regard to coordination of care that they are not seeing connections as well as
	in the past, specifically related to non-clinical recovery oriented housing programs
	\circ Bill commented that budget neutrality is important and should be reviewed but thinks this group
	would rather hear what is important to providers and he wants to give more detail in terms of impact
	to providers
	ACTION – put a placeholder on the agenda to bring this back and Bill, Colleen, and the co-
	chairs can touch base prior to the September Operations Subcommittee meeting to
	determine if we need to bring this back
	All SUD costs can be counted in our count towards budget neutrality
	\circ We need to make sure providers have the capacity to do this work
	\circ Discussed moving the September meeting to the following Friday since the first Friday leads into Labor
	Day weekend. Bill suggested we plan for a 2-hour meeting and will send details to the group in
	advance so there is time to review before the meeting
2. New Business and Announcements / Adjourn	None
	 Meeting adjourned at 3:40 p.m.
3. Upcoming Meetings	• September 4, 2020 at 2:30 p.m. via zoom (proposed pushing out to 9/11/2020 for a 2-hour meeting)





7/24/2020 MEETING ATTENDEES:

•Heather Gates •Bill Halsey (DSS) •Terri DiPietro •Jennifer Kurowski (Beacon) •Colleen Harrington (DMHAS) •Mary Painter •Deolu Kolade •Mark Vanacore (DMHAS) •Deborah O'Coin •Gary Steck •Kathy Savino •John D'Eramo •Linda Mosel •Stacey Lawton •Monika Gunning •Keri Lloyd •Lois Berkowitz (DCF) •Joy Pendola •Julienne Giard •Kathy Demars •Alan Aleia •Omar Garro •Perception Programs •Asher (CASA) •Michele Bissell •Kimberly Karanda •Kim Haugabook (Beacon) •Janet Rodriguez